

**ID card of case study: Interview Meeting**

<b>Title</b>	How to get lay peoples opinion in a case that involves complex technologies and political and ethical dilemmas?
<b>Short description of the case</b>	<p>In this case study, participants will play the role of an organizing institution that is attempting to garner viewpoints from lay people about complex technologies within a potentially controversial field. The workshop will concentrate on a method called "Interview meeting" that involves lay people, and produces both quantitative and qualitative information.</p> <p>The participants will be introduced to a case where the method has been used, such as the European PRISE project. The case is about privacy and security in society, focusing on the development and use of new security technologies by authorities in Europe. They will be introduced to the content of the case and to the interview meeting method.</p> <p>During the day the participants are to reflect upon:      -the particular case: what kind of knowledge could an interview meeting produce regarding the dilemmas about privacy and security.      -the method: what are the advantages and disadvantages of the method</p> <p>The participants will be working on sketching out examples of other projects within other areas where the method could be useful. This includes what kind of qualities the method has in the chosen examples.</p> <p>This case is developed on the basis of the EU-project PRISE that is currently run by 4 European institutions.</p>
<b>Training objectives</b>	<p>The participants will learn:      -a particular method of public deliberation, that could be useful in many societal areas where complex technologies are present      -to reflect upon pros and contras of how to get lay peoples viewpoints into cases.</p> <p>This case is designed for people that in the future will be in the position of creating a methodological dialogue between lay people and experts/stakeholders.</p>

<b>Training method</b>	<p>The participants will work in small groups as well as in plenary discussions</p> <p>The case requires:  0,5-1 hours for introduction  2-hours for work in groups  2 hours for plenary presentation and discussion.  Total app. 5 hours</p>
<b>Previous knowledge required</b>	<p>The participants should have reflected to some extent about involving lay people in public deliberation. See also the content of the training programme developed in CIPAST Procida Workshop</p>
<b>Materials</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- A room which allows participants to gather in small groups</li> <li>- Paper boards (1 for each small group)</li> </ul> <p>Desirable:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Lap top (1 for each small group)</li> <li>- A projector</li> </ul>
<b>Resources and further reading</b>	<p>About interview meetings  <a href="http://tekno.dk/subpage.php3?article=1234&amp;language=uk&amp;category=12&amp;toppic=kategori12">http://tekno.dk/subpage.php3?article=1234&amp;language=uk&amp;category=12&amp;toppic=kategori12</a></p> <p>Relevant PRISE publications:  <a href="http://www.prise.oeaw.ac.at/publications.php">http://www.prise.oeaw.ac.at/publications.php</a></p> <p>Manual for executing interview meetings in PRISE:  Attached</p> <p>Questionnaire for the interview meetings in PRISE:  Attached</p> <p>Interview guide for the interview meetings in PRISE:  Attached</p>
<b>Contact</b>	<p>Åse Kari Haugeto, The Norwegian Board of Technology,  <a href="mailto:aase@teknologiradet.no">aase@teknologiradet.no</a></p>